



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/749,601	12/31/2003	Timothy L. Hoopman	03001	8504		
44977	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER			
BERGGREN LAW OFFICES, LLC 7090 43RD STREET NORTH			LAYNO, B	LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
OAKDALE,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3711			
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)	ED.			
			10/749,601	HOOPMAN, TIMOTHY	' L.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Benjamin H. Layno	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	is			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come a period for reply specified above is less than thirty to period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the toreply within the set or extended period for rep reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136 nmunication. (30) days, a reply vestatutory period will ly will, by statute, of	i(a). In no event, however, may a r vithin the statutory minimum of thin I apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 and 18 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	 <u>9</u> is/are withdi						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.			,			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)∐ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	_	·	· ·				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents y documents s of the priorit onal Bureau	have been received. have been received in A y documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	je			
Attachmen	, ,		_					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or or No(s)/Mail Date <u>030705</u> .		Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	·)			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to the method of playing a card game, classified in class 273, subclass 292.
- II. Claims 1-17, drawn to a pack of playing cards, classified in class 273, subclass 304.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the pack of playing cards can be used to play Blackjack or Poker.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with William Bergman on 03/04/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of the pack of playing cards, claims 1-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,601 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jannersten.

The patent to Jannersten discloses a pack of playing cards having all the features recited in claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-17, see Fig. 1 and 2. The first indicia (numerical value) and second indicia (suit) are clearly placed at the primary corners, secondary corners, top edge, bottom edge, left edge and right edge as recited in the claims. Note, Fig. 3 illustrates that the first indicia and second indicia are judiciously placed to lessen both precision of vertical columnar alignment and columnar length.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jannersten as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Friedman 647'.

The design patent to Friedman 647' cited by the Applicant in an IDS, teaches that it is known in the playing card art to enlarge the indicia on the edges of the playing

cards. Note, the title of Friedman 647' is "....High Visibility Playing Cards". In view of such teaching it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to enlarge the first indicia and second indicia on the edges of Jannersten's playing cards in order to make the indicia more visible to players with impaired vision.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Hofman, Nielsen, Roberts and Stauff (cited by the Applicant in the IDS) all disclose first indicia (numerical value) and second indicia (suit) on the primary corners, secondary corners, top edge, bottom edge, left edge and right edge of the cards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571)272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

bhl